Proposed RCS Operational Leakage Tech Spec

REACTOR COOLANT SYSTEM (RCS)

3.4.13

RCS Operational LEAKAGE

LCO 3.4.13

RCS Operational LEAKAGE shall be limited to:

- $a. \ \ No\ pressure\ boundary\ LEAKAGE;$
- b. 1 gpm unidentified LEAKAGE;
- c. 10 gpm identified LEAKAGE;
- d. 150 gallons per day primary to secondary LEAKAGE through any one Steam Generator (SG).

APPLICABILITY:

MODES 1, 2, 3, and 4.

ACTIONS

CONDITION	REQUIRED ACTION	COMPLETION TIME
A. RCS Operational LEAKAGE not within limits for reasons other than pressure boundary LEAKAGE or primary to secondary LEAKAGE.	A.1 Reduce LEAKAGE to within limits.	4 hours
B. Required action and associated completion time of Condition A not met. OR	B.1 Be in MODE 3 AND B.2. Be in MODE 5	6 hours 36 hours
Pressure boundary LEAKAGE exists. OR Primary to secondary LEAKAGE not within limits.		

Proposed RCS Operational Leakage Tech Spec

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

SURVEILEARIOE REQUIREMENTS	
SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.4.13.1NOTE	Only required to be performed during steady state operation
Perform RCS water inventory balance.	72 hours
SR 3.4.13.2 Verify primary to secondary LEAKAGE is less than 150 gallons per day through any one SG in accordance with the Steam Generator Program.	In accordance with the Steam Generator Program

B 3.4 REACTOR COOLANT SYSTEM (RCS)

B 3.4.13 RCS Operational LEAKAGE

BASES

BACKGROUND

Components that contain or transport the coolant to or from the reactor core make up the RCS. Component joints are made by welding, bolting, rolling, or pressure loading, and valves isolate connecting systems from the RCS. During plant life, the joint and valve interfaces can produce varying amounts of reactor coolant LEAKAGE, through either normal operational wear or mechanical deterioration. The purpose of the RCS Operational LEAKAGE LCO is to limit system operation in the presence of LEAKAGE from these sources to amounts that do not compromise safety. This LCO specifies the types and amounts of LEAKAGE. 10 CFR 50, Appendix A, GDC 30 (Ref. 1), requires means for detecting and, to the extent practical, identifying the source of reactor coolant LEAKAGE. Regulatory Guide 1.45 (Ref. 2) describes acceptable methods for selecting leakage detection systems.

The safety significance of RCS LEAKAGE varies widely depending on its source, rate, and duration. Therefore, detecting and monitoring reactor coolant LEAKAGE into the containment area is necessary. Quickly separating the identified LEAKAGE from the unidentified LEAKAGE is necessary to provide quantitative information to the operators, allowing them to take corrective action should a leak occur that is detrimental to the safety of the facility and the public.

A limited amount of leakage inside containment is expected from auxiliary systems that cannot be made 100% leaktight. Leakage from these systems should be detected, located, and isolated from the containment atmosphere, if possible, to not interfere with RCS leakage detection. This LCO deals with protection of the reactor coolant pressure boundary (RCPB) from degradation and the core from inadequate cooling, in addition to preventing the accident analyses radiation release assumptions from being exceeded. The consequences of violating this LCO include the possibility of a loss of coolant accident (LOCA).

APPLICABLE

Except for primary to secondary LEAKAGE, the safety analyses do not SAFETY ANALYSES address operational LEAKAGE. However, other operational LEAKAGE is related to the safety analyses for LOCA, the amount of leakage can affect the probability of such an event. The safety analyses for events resulting in steam discharge to the atmosphere assumes that primary to secondary LEAKAGE from all steam generators (SGs) is [one gallon per minute] or increases to [1 gallon per minute] as a result of accident induced conditions. The LCO requirement to limit primary to secondary LEAKAGE through any one SG to less than 150 gallons per day is significantly less than the conditions assumed in the safety analysis.

> Primary to secondary LEAKAGE is a factor in the dose releases outside containment resulting from a steam line break (SLB) accident. To a lesser extent, other accidents or transients involve secondary steam release to the atmosphere, such as a steam generator tube rupture (SGTR). The leakage contaminates the secondary fluid.

> The FSAR (Ref. 3) analysis for SGTR assumes the contaminated secondary fluid is only briefly released via safety valves and the majority is steamed to the condenser. The [1 gpm] primary to secondary LEAKAGE assumption in the safety analysis is relatively inconsequential.

The [SLB] is more limiting for site radiation releases. The safety analysis for the [SLB] accident assumes [1 gpm] primary to secondary LEAKAGE in one generator as an initial condition. The dose consequences resulting from the [SLB] accident are well within the limits defined in 10 CFR 100 or the staff approved licensing basis (i.e., a small fraction of these limits).

The RCS operational LEAKAGE satisfies Criterion 2 of the NRC Policy Statement.

LCO

RCS operational LEAKAGE shall be limited to:

Pressure Boundary LEAKAGE a.

No pressure boundary LEAKAGE is allowed, being indicative of material deterioration. LEAKAGE of this type is unacceptable as the leak itself could cause further deterioration, resulting in higher LEAKAGE. Violation of this LCO could result in continued degradation of the RCPB. LEAKAGE past seals and gaskets is not pressure boundary LEAKAGE.

BASES

LCO (continued)

b. Unidentified LEAKAGE

One gallon per minute (gpm) of unidentified LEAKAGE is allowed as a reasonable minimum detectable amount that the containment air monitoring and containment sump level monitoring equipment can detect within a reasonable time period. Violation of this LCO could result in continued degradation of the RCPB, if the LEAKAGE is from the pressure boundary.

c. <u>Identified LEAKAGE</u>

Up to 10 gpm of identified LEAKAGE is considered allowable because LEAKAGE is from known sources that do not interfere with detection of unidentified LEAKAGE and is well within the capability of the RCS Makeup System. Identified LEAKAGE includes LEAKAGE to the containment from specifically known and located sources, but does not include pressure boundary LEAKAGE or controlled reactor coolant pump (RCP) seal leakoff (a normal function not considered LEAKAGE). Violation of this LCO could result in continued degradation of a component or system.

d. Primary to Secondary LEAKAGE through Any One SG

The limit of 150 gallons per day per steam generator (SG) is based on the Operational LEAKAGE Performance Criterion in the Steam Generator Program. The Steam Generator Program criterion states:

"The RCS operational primary-to-secondary leakage through any one steam generator shall be limited to 150 gallons per day."

The RCS Operational primary to secondary <u>LEAKAGE</u> is measured at standard temperature and pressure.

The operational <u>LEAKAGE</u> rate limit applies to <u>LEAKAGE</u> in any one steam generator. If it is not practical to assign the <u>LEAKAGE</u> to an individual steam generator, all the <u>LEAKAGE</u> should be conservatively assumed to be from one steam generator.

The limit in this criterion is based on operating experience gained from SG tube degradation mechanisms that result in tube LEAKAGE. The LEAKAGE rate criterion along with the other two Steam Generator Program Performance Criteria

BASES

LCO (continued)

d. Primary to Secondary LEAKAGE through Any One SG

(Structural Integrity and Accident Induced LEAKAGE) provide reasonable assurance that a single flaw leaking this amount will not propagate to a SGTR under the stress conditions of a LOCA or a main steam line rupture prior to detection by LEAKAGE monitoring methods and commencement of plant shutdown. If leaked through many flaws, the flaws are very small and the above assumption is conservative.

The other two Steam Generator Performance Criteria are addressed by the Steam Generator Tube Integrity technical specification ([3.4.X]).

APPLICABILITY

In MODES 1, 2, 3, and 4, the potential for RCPB LEAKAGE is greatest when the RCS is pressurized.

In MODES 5 and 6, LEAKAGE limits are not required because the reactor

coolant pressure is far lower, resulting in lower stresses and reduced potentials for LEAKAGE.

LCO 3.4.14, "RCS Pressure Isolation Valve (PIV) Leakage," measures leakage through each individual PIV and can impact this LCO. Of the two PIVs in series in each isolated line, leakage measured through one PIV does not result in RCS LEAKAGE when the other is leak tight. If both valves leak and result in a loss of mass from the RCS, the loss must be included in the allowable identified LEAKAGE.

ACTIONS

<u>A.1</u>

Unidentified LEAKAGE, or identified LEAKAGE in excess of the LCO limits must be reduced to within limits within 4 hours. This Completion

Time allows time to verify leakage rates and either identify unidentified LEAKAGE or reduce LEAKAGE to within limits before the reactor must be shut down. This action is necessary to prevent further deterioration of the RCPB.

B.1 and B.2

If any pressure boundary LEAKAGE exists, or if primary to secondary LEAKAGE is not within limits, or if unidentified LEAKAGE or identified LEAKAGE cannot be reduced to within limits within 4 hours, the reactor must be brought to lower pressure conditions to reduce the severity of the LEAKAGE and its potential consequences. It should be noted that LEAKAGE past seals and gaskets is not pressure boundary LEAKAGE. The reactor must be brought to MODE 3 within 6 hours and MODE 5 within 36 hours. This action reduces the LEAKAGE and also reduces the factors that tend to degrade the pressure boundary.

The allowed Completion Times are reasonable, based on operating experience, to reach the required plant conditions from full power conditions in an orderly manner and without challenging plant systems. In MODE 5, the pressure stresses acting on the RCPB are much lower, and further deterioration is much less likely.

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

SR 3.4.13.1

Verifying RCS LEAKAGE to be within the LCO limits ensures the integrity of the RCPB is maintained. Pressure boundary LEAKAGE would at first appear as unidentified LEAKAGE and can only be positively identified by inspection. It should be noted that LEAKAGE past seals and gaskets is not pressure boundary LEAKAGE. Unidentified LEAKAGE and identified LEAKAGE are determined by performance of an RCS water inventory balance

The RCS water inventory balance must be met with the reactor at steady state operating conditions and near operating pressure. <u>Calculations</u> <u>during maneuvering are not useful</u>. Therefore, <u>Note 1 states that</u> this SR is not required to be performed in MODES 3 and 4 until 12 hours of steady state operation near operating pressure have been established.

Note 2 states that this SR is not applicable to primary-to-secondary LEAKAGE because LEAKAGE limits as low as 150 gallons per day cannot be measured accurately by an RCS water inventory balance.

The 72 hour Frequency is a reasonable interval to trend LEAKAGE and recognizes the importance of early leakage detection in the prevention of accidents. Steady state operation is required to perform a proper inventory balance and <u>therefore</u> a Note requires the Surveillance to be met when steady state is established. For RCS operational LEAKAGE determination by water inventory balance, steady state is defined as stable RCS pressure, temperature, power level, pressurizer and makeup tank levels, makeup and letdown, and RCP seal injection and return flows.

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS (continued)

SR 3.4.13.2

This SR requires the verification of the primary to secondary LEAKAGE limit specified in the LCO. Satisfying the primary to secondary LEAKAGE limits ensures that the operational LEAKAGE performance criterion in the Steam Generator Program is met. The 150 gallons per day limit is measured at standard temperature and pressure.

The operational LEAKAGE Performance Criterion along with the other two Performance Criteria in the Steam Generator Program provide reasonable assurance that a single flaw leaking this amount will not propagate to an SGTR under the stress conditions of a LOCA or a main steam line rupture prior to detection by LEAKAGE monitoring methods and commencement of plant shutdown.

Primary to secondary <u>LEAKAGE</u> is determined through the analysis of secondary coolant activity levels. At low power, primary and secondary coolant activity is sufficiently low that an accurate determination of primary to secondary <u>LEAKAGE</u> may be difficult. Immediately after shutdown, the short lived isotopes are usually at sufficient levels to monitor for <u>LEAKAGE</u> by normal power operational means as long as other plant conditions allow the measurement. During startup, especially after a long outage, there are no short lived isotopes in either the primary or secondary system. This limits measurement of the <u>LEAKAGE</u> to chemical or long lived radiochemical means. The Steam Generator Program provides guidance on leak rate monitoring during MODES 3 and 4.

The surveillance frequency is determined by the Steam Generator
Program requirements. The Steam Generator Program's primary –
to - secondary LEAKAGE test frequencies are described in the EPRI
PWR Primary-To-Secondary Leak Guidelines. The leak testing
frequency changes as the amount of detected LEAKAGE increases.
The greater the LEAKAGE, the more monitoring is required.

REFERENCES

- 1. 10 CFR 50, Appendix A, 30.
- 2. Regulatory Guide 1.45, May 1973.
- 3. FSAR, Section [15].

3.4 REACTOR COOLANT SYSTEM (RCS)

3.4.13 RCS Operational LEAKAGE

LCO 3.4.13 RCS operational LEAKAGE shall be limited to:

- a. No pressure boundary LEAKAGE;
- b. 1 gpm unidentified LEAKAGE;
- c. 10 gpm identified LEAKAGE;

d. 1 gpm total primary to secondary LEAKAGE through all steam generators (SGs); and

d. e. [500] gallons per day primary to secondary LEAKAGE through any one SG. Steam Generator (SG).

APPLICABILITY: MODES 1, 2, 3, and 4.

ACTIONS

WHI.

CONDITION	REQUIRED ACTION	COMPLETION TIME
A. RCS*LEAKAGE not within limits for reasons other than pressure boundary LEAKAGE, or primary to Secondary LEAKAGE.	A.1 Reduce LEAKAGE to within limits.	4 hours
B. Required Action and associated Completion Time of Condition A not met.	B.1 Be in MODE 3. AND	6 hours
<u>OR</u>	B.2 Be in MODE 5.	36 hours
Pressure boundary LEAKAGE exists.		•

Armary to Secondary LEAKAGE not within limits

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY	
SR 3.4.13.1 Not required to be performed in MODE 3 or 4 until 12 hours of steady state operation. Not applicable to primary to secondary LEAKAGE Perform RCS water inventory balance.	NOTE Only required to be performed during steady state operation	
SR 3.4.13.2 Verify steam generator tube integrity is in accordance with the Steam Generator Tube Surveillance Program. Primary to Secondary LEAKAGE is less than 150 gallons per day through any one SG.	In accordance with the Steam Generator Tube Surveillance Program	

B 3.4 REACTOR-COOLANT SYSTEM (RCS)

B 3.4.13 RCS Operational LEAKAGE

BASES

BACKGROUND

Components that contain or transport the coolant to or from the reactor core make up the RCS. Component joints are made by welding, bolting, rolling, or pressure loading, and valves isolate connecting systems from the RCS.

During plant life, the joint and valve interfaces can produce varying amounts of reactor coolant LEAKAGE, through either normal operational wear or mechanical deterioration. The purpose of the RCS Operational LEAKAGE LCO is to limit system operation in the presence of LEAKAGE from these sources to amounts that do not compromise safety. This LCO specifies the types and amounts of LEAKAGE.

10 CFR 50, Appendix A, GDC 30 (Ref. 1), requires means for detecting and, to the extent practical, identifying the source of reactor coolant LEAKAGE. Regulatory Guide 1.45 (Ref. 2) describes acceptable methods for selecting leakage detection systems.

The safety significance of RCS LEAKAGE varies widely depending on its source, rate, and duration. Therefore, detecting and monitoring reactor coolant LEAKAGE into the containment area is necessary. Quickly separating the identified LEAKAGE from the unidentified LEAKAGE is necessary to provide quantitative information to the operators, allowing them to take corrective action should a leak occur that is detrimental to the safety of the facility and the public.

A limited amount of leakage inside containment is expected from auxiliary systems that cannot be made 100% leaktight. Leakage from these systems should be detected, located, and isolated from the containment atmosphere, if possible, to not interfere with RCS leakage detection.

This LCO deals with protection of the reactor coolant pressure boundary (RCPB) from degradation and the core from inadequate cooling, in addition to preventing the accident analyses radiation release assumptions from being exceeded. The consequences of violating this LCO include the possibility of a loss of coolant accident (LOCA).

BASES (continued)

APPLICABLE SAFETY ANALYSES



Except for primary to secondary LEAKAGE, the safety analyses do not address operational LEAKAGE. However, other operational LEAKAGE is related to the safety analyses for LOCA; the amount of leakage can affect the probability of such an event. The safety analysis for an event resulting in steam discharge to the atmosphere assumes a 1 gpm primary to secondary LEAKAGE as the initial condition.

Primary to secondary LEAKAGE is a factor in the dose releases outside containment resulting from a steam line break (SLB) accident. To a lesser extent, other accidents or transients involve secondary steam release to the atmosphere, such as a steam generator tube rupture (SGTR). The leakage contaminates the secondary fluid.



The FSAR (Ref. 3) analysis for SGTR assumes the contaminated secondary fluid is only briefly released via safety valves and the majority is steamed to the condenser. The [1] gpm] primary to secondary LEAKAGE is relatively inconsequential.

The [SLB] is more limiting for site radiation releases. The safety analysis for the [SLB] accident assumes [gpm] primary to secondary LEAKAGE in one generator as an initial condition. The dose consequences resulting from the [SLB] accident are well within the limits defined in 10 CFR 100 or the staff approved licensing basis (i.e., a small fraction of these limits).

The RCS operational LEAKAGE satisfies Criterion 2 of the NRC Policy Statement.

LCO

RCS operational LEAKAGE shall be limited to:

a. Pressure Boundary LEAKAGE

No pressure boundary LEAKAGE is allowed, being indicative of material deterioration. LEAKAGE of this type is unacceptable as the leak itself could cause further deterioration, resulting in higher LEAKAGE. Violation of this LCO could result in continued degradation of the RCPB. LEAKAGE past seals and gaskets is not pressure boundary LEAKAGE.

LCO (continued)

b. <u>Unidentified LEAKAGE</u>

One gallon per minute (gpm) of unidentified LEAKAGE is allowed as a reasonable minimum detectable amount that the containment air monitoring and containment sump level monitoring equipment can detect within a reasonable time period. Violation of this LCO could result in continued degradation of the RCPB, if the LEAKAGE is from the pressure boundary.

c. <u>Identified LEAKAGE</u>

Up to 10 gpm of identified LEAKAGE is considered allowable because LEAKAGE is from known sources that do not interfere with detection of identified LEAKAGE and is well within the capability of the RCS Makeup System. Identified LEAKAGE includes LEAKAGE to the containment from specifically known and located sources, but does not include pressure boundary LEAKAGE or controlled reactor coolant pump (RCP) seal leakoff (a normal function not considered LEAKAGE). Violation of this LCO could result in continued degradation of a component or system.

(Delete)

Primary to Secondary LEAKAGE through All Steam Generators (SGs)

Total primary to secondary LEAKAGE amounting to 1 gpm through all SGs produces acceptable offsite doses in the SLB accident analysis. Violation of this LCO could exceed the offsite dose limits for this accident. Primary to secondary LEAKAGE must be included in the total allowable limit for identified LEAKAGE.



Primary to Secondary LEAKAGE through Any One SG

The [500] gallons per day limit on one SG is based on the assumption that a single crack leaking this amount would not propagate to a SGTR under the stress conditions of a LOCA or a main steam line rupture. If leaked through many cracks, the cracks are very small, and the above assumption is conservative.

BASES (continued)

APPLICABILITY

In MODES 1, 2, 3, and 4, the potential for RCPB LEAKAGE is greatest when the RCS is pressurized.

In MODES 5 and 6, LEAKAGE limits are not required because the reactor coolant pressure is far lower, resulting in lower stresses and reduced potentials for LEAKAGE.

LCO 3.4.14, "RCS Pressure Isolation Valve (PIV) Leakage," measures leakage through each individual PIV and can impact this LCO. Of the two PIVs in series in each isolated line, leakage measured through one PIV does not result in RCS LEAKAGE when the other is leak tight. If both valves leak and result in a loss of mass from the RCS, the loss must be included in the allowable identified LEAKAGE.

ACTIONS

<u>A.1</u>

Unidentified LEAKAGE identified LEAKAGE or primary to secondary LEAKAGE in excess of the LCO limits must be reduced to within limits within 4 hours. This Completion Time allows time to verify leakage rates and either identify unidentified LEAKAGE or reduce LEAKAGE to within limits before the reactor must be shut down. This action is necessary to prevent further deterioration of the RCPB.

B.1 and B.2

or if primary to Secondary LEAKAGE us not within limits,

If any pressure boundary LEAKAGE exists, for if unidentified LEAKAGE dentified LEAKAGE or primary to secondary LEAKAGE cannot be reduced to within limits within 4 hours, the reactor must be brought to lower pressure conditions to reduce the severity of the LEAKAGE and its potential consequences. It should be noted that LEAKAGE past seals and gaskets is not pressure boundary LEAKAGE. The reactor must be brought to MODE 3 within 6 hours and MODE 5 within 36 hours. This action reduces the LEAKAGE and also reduces the factors that tend to degrade the pressure boundary.

The allowed Completion Times are reasonable, based on operating experience, to reach the required plant conditions from full power conditions in an orderly manner and without challenging plant systems. In MODE 5, the pressure stresses

ACTIONS

B.1 and B.2 (continued)

acting on the RCPB are much lower, and further deterioration is much less likely.

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

SR 3.4.13.1

Verifying RCS LEAKAGE to be within the LCO limits ensures the integrity of the RCPB is maintained. Pressure boundary LEAKAGE would at first appear as unidentified LEAKAGE and can only be positively identified by inspection. It should be noted that LEAKAGE past seals and gaskets is not pressure boundary LEAKAGE. Unidentified LEAKAGE and identified LEAKAGE are determined by performance of an RCS water inventory balance. Primary to secondary LEAKAGE is also measured by performance of an RCS water inventory balance in conjunction with effluent monitoring within the secondary steam and feedwater systems.

(Delete)

The RCS water inventory balance must be met with the reactor at steady state operating conditions and near operating pressure. Therefore, this SR is not required to be performed in MODES 3 and 4 until 12 hours of steady state operation near operating pressure have been established.

Steady state operation is required to perform a proper inventory balance; calculations during maneuvering are not useful and a Note requires the Surveillance to be met when steady state is established. For RCS operational LEAKAGE determination by water inventory balance, steady state is defined as stable RCS pressure, temperature, power level, pressurizer and makeup tank levels, makeup and letdown, and RCP seal injection and return flows.

An early warning of pressure boundary LEAKAGE or unidentified LEAKAGE is provided by the automatic systems that monitor the containment atmosphere radioactivity and the containment sump level. It should be noted that LEAKAGE past seals and gaskets is not pressure boundary LEAKAGE. These leakage detection systems are specified in LCO 3.4.15, "RCS Leakage Detection Instrumentation."

The 72 hour Frequency is a reasonable interval to trend LEAKAGE and recognizes the importance of early leakage

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

<u>SR 3.4.13.1</u> (continued)

detection in the prevention of accidents. A Note under the Frequency column states that this SR is required to be performed during steady state operation.

SR 3.4.13.2



This SR provides the means necessary to determine SG OPERABILITY in an operational MODE. The requirement to demonstrate SG tube integrity in accordance with the Steam Generator Tube Surveillance Program emphasizes the importance of SG tube integrity, even though this Surveillance cannot be performed at normal operating conditions.

REFERENCES

- 1. 10 CFR 50, Appendix A, GDC 30.
- 2. Regulatory Guide 1.45, May 1973.
- 3. FSAR, Section [15].

- Insert A: that primary to secondary <u>LEAKAGE</u> from all steam generators is [one gallon per minute] or increases to [one gallon per minute] as a result of accident induced conditions. The LCO requirement to limit primary to secondary <u>LEAKAGE</u> through any one steam generator to less than 150 gallons per day is significantly less than the conditions assumed in the safety analysis.
- Insert B: The limit of 150 gallons per day per steam generator (SG) is based on the Operational LEAKAGE Performance Criterion in the Steam Generator Program. The Steam Generator Program criterion states:

 "The RCS operational primary-to-secondary leakage through any one steam generator shall be limited to 150 gallons per day."

The RCS Operational primary to secondary <u>LEAKAGE</u> is measured at standard temperature and pressure.

The operational <u>LEAKAGE</u> rate limit applies to <u>LEAKAGE</u> in any one steam generator. If it is not practical to assign the <u>LEAKAGE</u> to an individual steam generator, all the <u>LEAKAGE</u> should be conservatively assumed to be from one steam generator.

The limit in this criterion is based on operating experience gained from SG tube degradation mechanisms that result in tube LEAKAGE. The LEAKAGE rate criterion along with the other two Steam Generator Program Performance Criteria (Structural Integrity and Accident Induced LEAKAGE) provide reasonable assurance that a single flaw leaking this amount will not propagate to a SGTR under the stress conditions of a LOCA or a main steam line rupture prior to detection by LEAKAGE monitoring methods and commencement of plant shutdown. If leaked through many flaws, the flaws are very small and the above assumption is conservative.

The other two Steam Generator Performance Criteria are addressed by the Steam Generator Tube Integrity technical specification ([3.4.X]).

- Insert C: Note 2 states that this SR is not applicable to primary-to-secondary LEAKAGE because LEAKAGE limits as low as 150 gallons per day cannot be measured accurately by an RCS water inventory balance.
- Insert D: This SR requires the verification of the primary to secondary LEAKAGE limit specified in the LCO. Satisfying the primary to secondary LEAKAGE limits ensures that the operational LEAKAGE performance criterion in the Steam Generator Program is met. The 150 gallons per day limit is measured at standard temperature and pressure.

The operational LEAKAGE Performance Criterion along with the other two Performance Criteria in the Steam Generator Program provide reasonable assurance that a single flaw leaking this amount will not propagate to an SGTR under the stress conditions of a LOCA or a main steam line rupture prior to detection by LEAKAGE monitoring methods and commencement of plant shutdown.

Primary to secondary <u>LEAKAGE</u> is determined through the analysis of secondary coolant activity levels. At low power, primary and secondary coolant activity is sufficiently low that an accurate determination of primary to secondary <u>LEAKAGE</u> may be difficult. Immediately after shutdown, the short lived isotopes are usually at sufficient levels to monitor for <u>LEAKAGE</u> by normal power operational means as long as other plant conditions allow the measurement. During startup, especially after a long outage, there are no short lived isotopes in either the primary or secondary system. This limits measurement of the <u>LEAKAGE</u> to chemical or long lived radiochemical means. The Steam Generator Program provides guidance on leak rate monitoring during MODES 3 and 4.

The surveillance frequency is determined by the Steam Generator Program requirements. The Steam Generator Program's primary – to – secondary LEAKAGE test frequencies are described in the EPRI PWR Primary-To-Secondary Leak Guidelines. The leak testing frequency changes as the amount of detected LEAKAGE increases. The greater the LEAKAGE, the more monitoring is required.



3.4 REACTOR COOLANT SYSTEM (RCS)

3.4.4 RCS Loops-MODES 1 and 2

LCO 3.4.4 [Four] RCS loops shall be OPERABLE and in operation.

APPLICABILITY: MODES 1 and 2.

ACTIONS

CONDITION	REQUIRED ACTION	COMPLETION TIME
A. Requirements of LCO not met.	A.1 Be in MODE 3.	6 hours

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

SURVEILLANCE	FREQUENCY
SR 3.4.4.1 Verify each RCS loop is in operation.	12 hours





B 3.4.4 RCS Loops-MODES 1 and 2

BASES

BACKGROUND

The primary function of the RCS is removal of the heat generated in the fuel due to the fission process, and transfer of this heat, via the steam generators (SGs), to the secondary plant.

The secondary functions of the RCS include:

- a. Moderating the neutron energy level to the thermal state, to increase the probability of fission;
- b. Improving the neutron economy by acting as a reflector;
- c. Carrying the soluble neutron poison, boric acid;
- d. Providing a second barrier against fission product release to the environment; and
- Removing the heat generated in the fuel due to fission product decay following a unit shutdown.

The reactor coolant is circulated through [four] loops connected in parallel to the reactor vessel, each containing an SG, a reactor coolant pump (RCP), and appropriate flow and temperature instrumentation for both control and protection. The reactor vessel contains the clad fuel. The SGs provide the heat sink to the isolated secondary coolant. The RCPs circulate the coolant through the reactor vessel and SGs at a sufficient rate to ensure proper heat transfer and prevent fuel damage. This forced circulation of the reactor coolant ensures mixing of the coolant for proper boration and chemistry control.

APPLICABLE SAFETY ANALYSES

Safety analyses contain various assumptions for the design bases accident initial conditions including RCS pressure, RCS temperature, reactor power level, core parameters, and safety system setpoints. The important aspect for this LCO is the reactor coolant forced flow rate, which is represented by the number of RCS loops in service.



APPLICABLE SAFETY ANALYSES (continued)

Both transient and steady state analyses have been performed to establish the effect of flow on the departure from nucleate boiling (DNB). The transient and accident analyses for the plant have been performed assuming [four] RCS loops are in operation. The majority of the plant safety analyses are based on initial conditions at high core power or zero power. The accident analyses that are most important to RCP operation are the [four] pump coastdown, single pump locked rotor, single pump (broken shaft or coastdown), and rod withdrawal events (Ref. 1).

Steady state DNB analysis has been performed for the [four] RCS loop operation. For [four] RCS loop operation, the steady state DNB analysis, which generates the pressure and temperature Safety Limit (SL) (i.e., the departure from nucleate boiling ratio (DNBR) limit) assumes a maximum power level of 109% RTP. This is the design overpower condition for [four] RCS loop operation. The value for the accident analysis setpoint of the nuclear overpower (high flux) trip is 107% and is based on an analysis assumption that bounds possible instrumentation errors. The DNBR limit defines a locus of pressure and temperature points that result in a minimum DNBR greater than or equal to the critical heat flux correlation limit.

The plant is designed to operate with all RCS loops in operation to maintain DNBR above the SL, during all normal operations and anticipated transients. By ensuring heat transfer in the nucleate boiling region, adequate heat transfer is provided between the fuel cladding and the reactor coolant.

RCS Loops—MODES 1 and 2 satisfy Criterion 2 of the NRC Policy Statement.

LCO

The purpose of this LCO is to require an adequate forced flow rate for core heat removal. Flow is represented by the number of RCPs in operation for removal of heat by the SGs. To meet safety analysis acceptance criteria for DNB, [four] pumps are required at rated power.

An OPERABLE RCS loop consists of an OPERABLE RCP in operation providing forced flow for heat transport and an

BASES

LCO (continued) OPERABLE SG. In accordance with the Steam Generator Tube Surveillance Program.

APPLICABILITY

In MODES 1 and 2, the reactor is critical and thus has the potential to produce maximum THERMAL POWER. Thus, to ensure that the assumptions of the accident analyses remain valid, all RCS loops are required to be OPERABLE and in operation in these MODES to prevent DNB and core damage.

The decay heat production rate is much lower than the full power heat rate. As such, the forced circulation flow and heat sink requirements are reduced for lower, noncritical MODES as indicated by the LCOs for MODES 3, 4, and 5.

Operation in other MODES is covered by:

LCO 3.4.5, "RCS Loops—MODE 3"; LCO 3.4.6, "RCS Loops—MODE 4"; LCO 3.4.7, "RCS Loops—MODE 5, Loops Filled"; LCO 3.4.8, "RCS Loops—MODE 5, Loops Not Filled"; LCO 3.9.5, "Residual Heat Removal (RHR) and Coolant Circulation-High Water Level" (MODE 6); and

LCO 3.9.6, "Residual Heat Removal (RHR) and Coolant Circulation—Low Water Level" (MODE 6).

ACTIONS

<u>A.1</u>

If the requirements of the LCO are not met, the Required Action is to reduce power and bring the plant to MODE 3. This lowers power level and thus reduces the core heat removal needs and minimizes the possibility of violating DNB limits.

The Completion Time of 6 hours is reasonable, based on operating experience, to reach MODE 3 from full power conditions in an orderly manner and without challenging safety systems.



BASES (continued)

SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS

SR 3.4.4.1

This SR requires verification every 12 hours that each RCS loop is in operation. Verification includes flow rate, temperature, or pump status monitoring, which help ensure that forced flow is providing heat removal while maintaining the margin to DNB. The Frequency of 12 hours is sufficient considering other indications and alarms available to the operator in the control room to monitor RCS loop performance.

REFERENCES

FSAR, Section [].